Reasons for physical exercise practice in university students according to body mass index

Motivos para a prática de exercício físico em universitários de acordo com o índice de massa corporal

Dartagnan Pinto Guedes¹ Rosimeide F. Santos Legnani² Elto Legnani²

1. Universidade Norte do Paraná – UNOPAR. Londrina, PR, Brazil. 2. Research Center for Exercising and Sports – UFPr. Curitiba, PR, Brazil.

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to identify possible involvement of body mass index (BMI) in identifying the motives which may induce college students to practice physical exercise. A total of 2380 subjects aged 18 to 35 years-old were included in the study. To identify exercise motives we used the version translated into Portuguese of the Exercise Motivations Inventory (EMI-2). The statistical treatment of data was performed by univariate and multivariate analysis of variance, in which the exercise motives were the dependent variables and gender and strata of BMI (normal weight, overweight and obesity). The results indicated significant effect of sex (Wilks' Lambda=0.737; F_(10,2369)=84.736; p<0.001) and BMI strata (Wilks' Lambda=0.810; F_(20,6948)=17.226; p<0.001) in the level of importance mentioned by the college students for exercise participation. Motives exercise associated to Weight Management (F=85.299; p<0.001), Health Rehabilitation (F=12.474; p<0.001), Appearance (F=6.647; p<0.001) and Disease Prevention (F=4.136; p=0.002) were significantly higher in overweight and obese college students, whereas college students with normal weight presented significantly higher ratings for Fun/Wellness (F=8.906; p<0.001), Affiliation (F=5.123; p<0.001) and Stress Management (F=3.269; p=0.023). In conclusion, different exercise motives given by normal-weight, overweight and obese college students should be into account in proposing intervention programs in this segment of the population. Keywords: Motivation; Overweight; Obesity.

Resumo

O objetivo do estudo foi identificar eventual participação do índice de massa corporal (IMC) na identificação dos motivos que podem induzir universitários a prática de exercício físico. Amostra foi constituída por 2380 sujeitos, com idades entre 18 e 35 anos. Motivos para a prática de exercício físico foram identificados por intermédio de versão traduzida do Exercise Motivations Inventory (EMI-2). Tratamento estatístico dos dados foi realizado mediante análise de variância univariada e multivariada, tendo como variáveis dependentes fatores de motivação e variáveis independentes sexo e estratos de IMC (peso corporal normal, sobrepeso e obesidade). Os resultados revelaram efeito significativo de sexo (Wilks' Lambda=0,737; F_(10,2369)=84,736; p<0,001) e estratos de IMC (Wilks' Lambda=0,810; F_(20,6948)=17,226; p<0,001) no grau de importância apontado pelos universitários para prática de exercício físico. Motivos para prática de exercício físico associados ao Controle de Peso Corporal (F=85,299; p<0,001), à Reabilitação de Saúde (F=12,474; p<0,001), à Aparência Física (F=6,647; p<0,001) e à Prevenção de Doenças (F=4,136; p=0,002) receberam importância significativamente maior dos universitários com sobrepeso e obesos, enquanto universitários com peso corporal normal demonstraram significativamente maior motivação direcionada à Diversão/Bem-Estar (F=8,906; p<0,001), à Afiliação (F=5,123; p<0,001) e ao Controle de Estresse (F=3,269; p=0,023). Concluindo, diferentes motivos para a prática de exercício físico apontados pelos universitários com peso corporal normal, sobrepeso e obesos devem ser considerados na proposição de intervenções neste segmento da população. Palavras-chave: Motivação; Sobrepeso; Obesidade.

ENDEREÇO PARA CORRESPONDÊNCIA

Dartagnan Pinto Guedes

Rua Ildefonso Werner, 177 Condomínio Royal Golf Londrina, PR, Brazil CEP 86055-545 e-mail: darta@sercomtel.com.br

02/04/2012
07/06/2012
23/07/2012
14/08/2012

INTRODUCTION

Exercising and nutritional guidance are key procedures aimed at body weight management¹. In this case, the contribution of exercising should be emphasized, not only because of the greater energy expenditure put into the energy balance equation, but especially because of the favorable adaptations that can lead to the metabolism of energy nutrients². Additionally, apart from the concern for health, Western societies follow aesthetic models promoted by the media, which causes a growing concern about one's image in the search for the "ideal" body. As a result, this compels overweight and obese individuals to become interested in physical activities^{3,4}. Studies available in this area show that psychological attributes associated with motivation are important determinants for individuals to begin exercising, adhere to their physical activity practice and minimize abandonment^{5,6}. In this sense, several reasons may be associated with exercising. This diversity of reasons is described by many instruments that have been suggested to identify them⁷. Among the existing instruments, the Exercise Motivations Inventory (EMI-2), originally developed in English and subsequently translated into several languages⁸ including Portuguese⁹, is widely used, enabling safer and more reliable comparisons among studies as it puts together identical motivational factors¹⁰⁻¹⁵.

Each reason selected for physical activity practice can act differently, according to the goals and expectations established by those who exercise, thus becoming an important indicator associated with adherence. As an example, Ryan et al. observed that, among individuals who regularly go to fitness centers, the reasons for exercising associated with leisure/ well-being and affiliation were more frequently pointed out by those who showed greater adherence to physical exercise programs, unlike the reasons associated with physical appearance and aesthetics¹⁶. In a longitudinal study, Ingledew, Makland and Medley found that, whereas physical appearance and body weight management were important reasons in the initial stages of physical exercise practice, reasons associated with leisure/well-being and affiliation were more relevant to progress and remain in the program¹⁷. In addition, Andrade Bastos et al. compared the reasons reported by individuals who regularly walked and practiced gymnastics. Individuals who practiced walking emphasized reasons associated with health and physical fitness as the most important, whereas those who practiced gymnastics mentioned reasons associated with physical appearance and aesthetics as the most important ones¹⁸.

Consequently, assuming the hypothesis that concern for body weight may represent an intra-personal factor that could change motivational determinants aimed at physical exercise practice, the present study aimed to identify a possible contribution of the body mass index (BMI) to the identification of the reasons that may cause university students to exercise.

METHODS

The reference population for this study included university students enrolled in 42 undergraduate courses at the Londrina State University. This institution belongs to the State of Paraná Public Higher Education Network and its student community is comprised of nearly 15,000 university students. The sample was obtained from a cluster-based probabilistic process, using the number of students by sex, course, area of study and period of classes (day-time or night-time) as reference.

The sample size was established with a 95% confidence interval, sampling error of 3% and the inclusion of an additional 10% due to losses during data collection. Considering the fact that the sampling plan included clusters, a sampling design effect of 1.5 was defined, when a minimum sample of 2,200 university students was initially expected. However, the final sample used in the data treatment was comprised of 2,380 university students (1,213 women and 1,167 men), 45% of which were aged \leq 20 years, 48% between 21 and 29 years, and the remaining 7% \geq 30 years.

All information associated with reasons for exercising was obtained with the application of the Exercise Motivations Inventory (EMI-2), translated, adapted and validated to be used with the young Brazilian population⁹, with additional questions associated with self-reported height and weight measurements. The BMI was calculated by dividing the body weight in kilograms by the square of the height in meters (kg/m²). The following three BMI strata were considered in the analysis: < 25 kg/m² (normal weight); 25-30 kg/m² (overweight) and > 30 kg/m² (obesity) ¹⁹.

The translated version of the EMI-2 is comprised of 44 items, grouped into ten motivational factors that represent a wide range of reasons for exercising defined a priori and validated with confirmatory factorial analysis resources: leisure/well-being, stress management, social recognition, affiliation, competition, health rehabilitation, disease prevention, body weight management, physical appearance and physical fitness. Respondents are expected to state their opinions about the 44 items comprising the inventory, using the Lickert scale of six points (from 0 = "not true at all" to 5 = "completely true") and according to the heading "Personally, I practice (or could practice) physical activities...". According to its creators and following the Self-Determination Theory^{20,21}, the EMI-2 enables the identification, assessment and ordering of intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors for exercising.

The assessment instrument was applied individually in only one moment by a single researcher who had been previously trained for this purpose. To achieve this, the groups randomly selected for this study were visited by the researcher, who clarified the study objectives and the principle of confidentiality. The university students who signed the Informed Consent Form received a copy of the EMI-2 with instructions for its completion and information about the researcher's availability for clarification. After being completed and returned, all instruments were stored in a sealed box. Data were collected between May and June 2008.

The statistical treatment of data was performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 19.0. The frequency distribution was initially tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Considering the fact that the data showed a normal frequency distribution, parametric statistics was used with the calculation of the mean and standard-deviation. Subsequently, multivariate (MANOVA) and univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to establish comparisons among the strata formed, including the motivational factors as dependent variables and sex and BMI strata (normal weight, overweight and obesity) as independent variables. This was followed by Scheffe's multiple comparison test, which was performed to identify specific differences. The study design was approved by the Londrina State University Human Research Ethics Committee (Official Opinion 214/07).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the composition of the sample analyzed according to sex and BMI. Statistical indicators found with MANOVA revealed a significant effect of sex (Wilks' Lambda = 0.737; $F_{(10.2369)} = 84.736$; p < 0.001) and BMI strata (Wilks' Lambda = 0.810; $F_{(20.6948)} = 17.226$; p < 0.001) on the level of significance of exercising pointed out by university students.

Table 2 shows statistical data on the motivational factors by sex and BMI strata. The results of ANOVA pointed to statistical differences between sexes in four motivational factors analyzed. Women showed significantly higher mean values for Body Weight Management (F = 8.437; p < 0.001) and Physical Appearance (F = 2.896; p = 0.046), whereas men showed such values for Competition (F = 10.414; p < 0.001) and Physical Fitness (F = 6.846; p < 0.001). With regard to the hierarchical organization, based on the magnitude of the mean values of each motivational factor, the differences in such organization were very small among factors considered to have a lower importance. However, although Disease Prevention was equally pointed out as one of the most important factors by university students of both sexes (women: 3.65 ± 0.59 ; men: 3.32 ± 0.61), women indicated Body Weight Management as another important motivational factor (3.30 ± 0.83), while men considered this factor to be less important (2.49 ± 0.85). In contrast, men emphasized Physical Fitness as one of the most important motivational factors (3.24 ± 0.67), whereas women considered this factor to have an average importance (2.67 ± 0.66).

The BMI of university students also had a relevant impact on the dimensions and hierarchical organization of motivational factors for exercising, especially when students with normal weight were compared to those with obesity (Table 2). University students who had a BMI > 30 kg/m² showed mean values for Disease Prevention (F = 4.136; p = 0.002), Physical Appearance (F = 6.647; p < 0.001) and Health Rehabilitation (F

Table 1

Composition of the sample analyzed by sex and Body Mass Index (BMI).

IMC	Moças (n = 1213)	Rapazes (n = 1167)	Ambos os Sexos $(n = 2380)$
$< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$	915 (87,8%)	756 (73,3%)	1671 (70,2%)
$25-30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	241 (9,2%)	327 (21,2%)	568 (23,9%)
$> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	57 (3,0%)	84 (5,5%)	141 (5,9%)

Table 2

Motivational factors for exercising among university students according to sex and Body Mass Index (BMI).

Motivational factors			BMI					Post-Hoc
		$< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$	25-30 kg/m ²	$> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	F test			
		(a)	(b) õ	(c)	Sex	BMI	Interaction	Scheffe
Disease Prevention	Women	3.45 ± 0.53	3.58 ± 0.66	3.93 ± 0.69	1.156	4.136	1.220	a <c< td=""></c<>
	Men	3.15 ± 0.57	3.25 ± 0.60	3.64 ± 0.63	ns	p=0.002	ns	
Physical Fitness	Women	2.70 ± 0.61	2.76 ± 0.63	2.59 ± 0.67	6.846	2.592	0.140	
	Men	3.24 ± 0.63	3.30 ± 0.66	3.08 ± 0.61	p<0.001	ns	ns	
Body Weight	Women	2.45 ± 0.79	3.60 ± 0.82	4.21 ± 0.86	8.437	85.299	3.572	a <b<c< td=""></b<c<>
Management	Men	1.75 ± 0.63	2.47 ± 0.73	2.92 ± 0.78	p<0.001	p<0.001	p=0.029	
Physical Appearance	Women	2.63 ± 0.73	2.88 ± 0.73	3.44 ± 0.64	2.896	6.647	1.005	a <c>b</c>
	Men	2.32 ± 0.64	2.63 ± 0.64	2.87 ± 0.55	p=0.046	p<0.001	ns	
Stress Management	Women	3.29 ± 0.78	2.80 ± 0.79	2.74 ± 0.85	2.116	3.269	0.887	b <a>c
	Men	2.98 ± 0.69	2.53 ± 0.71	2.45 ± 0.76	ns	p=0.023	ns	
Leisure/Well-Being	Women	3.16 ± 0.70	2.34 ± 0.70	2.11 ± 0.71	1.811	8.906	2.984	84 b <a>c
	Men	2.84 ± 0.73	2.03 ± 0.71	1.91 ± 0.64	ns	p<0.001	ns	
Affiliation	Women	2.40 ± 0.59	2.03 ± 0.62	1.97 ± 0.63	2.213	5.123	1.334	a>c
	Men	2.70 ± 0.71	2.32 ± 0.73	2.25 ± 0.64	ns	p<0.001	ns	
Health Rehabilitation	Women	2.11 ± 0.80	2.26 ± 0.78	2.69 ± 0.79	2.351	12.474	1.698	a <c< td=""></c<>
	Men	1.76 ± 0.65	1.89 ± 0.63	2.24 ± 0.64	ns	p<0.001	ns	
Competition	Women	1.31 ± 0.41	1.33 ± 0.45	1.24 ± 0.44	10.414	2.388	0.850	
	Men	1.96 ± 0.65	1.99 ± 0.71	1.84 ± 0.68	p<0.001	ns	ns	
Social Recognition	Women	1.07 ± 0.36	1.14 ± 0.42	1.11 ± 0.42	2.398	0.851	0.925	
-	Men	1.31 ± 0.53	1.40 ± 0.59	1.36 ± 0.59	ns	ns	ns	

= 12.474; p < 0.001) that were significantly higher than those of students with a BMI < 25 kg/m². However, in the case of Stress Management (F = 3.269; p = 0.023), Leisure/Well-Being (F = 8.906; p < 0.001) and Affiliation (F = 5.123; p < 0.001), university students with a normal weight (BMI < 25 kg/m²) showed statistically higher mean values than others with overweight $(25 \text{ kg/m}^2 > \text{BMI} > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2)$. With regard to Body Weight Management, the mean values observed rose with the increase in the BMI, indicating significant differences among the three strata compared (F = 85.299; p < 0.001). In terms of the hierarchical organization of the dimensions of mean values of motivational factors for exercising, female students who were overweight or obese pointed to Body Weight Management as the most important factor for physical activity practice. However, students who had a normal weight considered this same factor to be significantly less important.

DISCUSSION

The present study sought to provide the means for better understanding of motivational factors that may influence the physical activity practice of university students with overweight and obesity, as exercising is an important excess weight prevention and control measure. In both sexes, significant differences in the level of importance given to motivational factors for exercising were identified among university students with a normal weight, overweight and obesity, assessed according to BMI values.

In general, Disease Prevention was the main motivational factor for exercising pointed out by university students. Subsequently, other factors that motivated or could motivate students to exercise were Physical Fitness and Body Weight Management. Leisure/Well-Being and Stress Management were two interesting factors associated with intrinsic motivation, as they were equally considered by students to be more important for exercising, although being significantly less important than the factor traditionally associated with extrinsic motivation (Disease Prevention) and nearly as important as Physical Fitness and Body Weight Management. On the other hand, factors associated with Social Recognition, Competition and Health Rehabilitation were attributed a lower level of motivation for exercising. Physical Appearance and Affiliation were put together into an intermediate group, tending to show lower levels of motivation as well.

These results are similar to those found in the literature, regardless of the assessment instrument used in the identification of motivational factors for exercising. Particularly in the academic world, Ebben and Brudzynski⁵ observed that questions about general health and physical fitness were emphasized by university students with regard to exercising. Similarly, in a study conducted with 15,000 adults from 15 European countries, Zunft et al. ²² observed that the reasons most frequently given for exercising were associated with health promotion. Caglar, Canlan and Demir ²³ also found that, among adolescents and young adults who regularly went to fitness clubs, the most important reasons for exercising were health promotion and disease prevention.

When the reasons for exercising were associated with the sex of students, researchers observed that women attributed a significantly higher level of importance to Body Weight Management and Physical Appearance than men, whereas these considered Competition and Physical Fitness to be more important reasons. Evidence found in the literature corroborate women's tendency towards greater identification with aesthetic reasons for exercising, unlike men, who tend to value attributes associated with challenge and personal competence ^{6,15,18,24-26}. These results are interesting, as they suggest the occurrence of similarities in the level of importance of intrinsic motivation attributes among women and men and the existence of significant differences in extrinsic motivation attributes between sexes.

With regard to the impact that the BMI, an indicator associated with overweight and obesity, can have on motivational factors for exercising, the level of motivation of the two factors associated with aesthetics, Body Weight Management and Physical Appearance, became significantly higher when body weight increased, especially among women. This observation corroborates results from previous studies in terms of the concern for and dissatisfaction with the body image felt by young adults with overweight and obesity ^{12,27}, which has repercussions on the level of importance given to aesthetic reasons for exercising.

Additionally, health reasons were more valued by university students with excess weight. Students with a BMI > 30 kg/m² reported being more motivated to exercise for the purpose of Disease Prevention and Health Rehabilitation than others with a BMI < 25 kg/m². These findings show that obese students have a clear perception of the health risks of excess body weight and, consequently, could adhere to physical activity practice when motivated for this reason. On the other hand, types of behavior regulated by intrinsic motivational factors, represented by factors associated with Stress Management, Leisure/Well-Being and Affiliation, were more significant motivational agents for university students with a normal weight to exercise or to be able to exercise than those with overweight or obesity.

These findings can be interpreted with the Self-Determination Theory^{20,21}. According to this theory, when motivated intrinsically, individuals apparently become involved with physical activities for the inherent pleasure and satisfaction that comes from the practice itself, when in fact this practice fulfills psychological needs for autonomy, competence and self-realization. When extrinsically motivated, individuals basically become involved with physical activities to meet the demands imposed externally or to be rewarded through their practice. Thus, different motivational approaches can lead to distinct cognitive, emotional and behavioral consequences.

Experimental studies have shown that intrinsic reasons for exercising are more likely to continue for a longer period of time than extrinsic reasons ²⁸. Thus, intrinsically motivated individuals have a higher probability of adhering to their practice than those externally motivated. Supporting these findings, Weinberg and Gould ⁷ observed that many individuals began to practice physical activities for health and weight loss reasons. However, after a while, few continued exercising regularly unless they found pleasure and satisfaction in their practice.

The present study has certain limitations that must be taken into consideration. It should be emphasized that the data on motivational factors for exercising were self-reported, as were the indicators associated with BMI (body weight and height). However, self-assessment is a procedure found in studies with these characteristics and the most viable way to conduct large-scale surveys. On the other hand, the larger sample size enables a possible lack of accuracy in the estimates calculated to be minimized in a certain way. Additionally, the cross-sectional approach to data could hinder the establishment of associations without considering the possibility of inverse causality.

In conclusion, the pieces of evidence found in the present study point to university students with overweight and obesity tending to identify factors associated with the external dimensions of motivation (Disease Prevention, Health Rehabilitation, Body Weight Management and Physical Appearance) as key motivational agents, whereas university students with a normal weight perceive factors associated with the internal dimensions (Stress Management, Leisure/Well-Being and Affiliation) as the most important motivational agents for exercising. As a result, based on the assumptions of the Self-Determination Theory, the findings of the present study suggest that university students with overweight and obesity could have more difficulty in effectively adhering to physical activity practice as they prioritize extrinsic motivational factors. In this way, it is recommended that motivational strategies that could improve intrinsic motivational factors should be used, apart from focusing on extrinsic motivational factors.

Author contributions

Dartagnan Pinto Guedes was responsible for the study coordination, participated in all research stages and wrote the final version of the manuscript. Rosimeide Francisco Santos Legnani participated in the field research, data analysis and writing of the manuscript. Elto Legnani participated in the data analysis and writing of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Haslam DW, James WPT. Obesity. Lancet 2005; 366:1197-209.
- Fogelholm M, Stallknecht B, Van Baak M. ECSS position statement: exercise and obesity. Eur J Sport Sci 2006; 6:15-24.
- Furnham A, Badmin N, Sneade I. Body image dissatisfaction: gender differences in eating attitudes, self-esteem, and reasons for exercise. J Psychol 2002; 136:581-96.
- Markland D, Ingledew DK. The relationships between body mass and body image and relative autonomy for exercise among adolescent males and females. Psychol Sport Exerc 2007; 8:836-53.
- Ebben W, Brudzynski L. Motivations and barriers to exercise among college students. J Exerc Physiol 2008; 111-11.
- Brunet J, Sabiston CM. Exploring motivation for physical activity across the adult lifespan. Psychol Sport Exerc 2011; 12:99-105.
- Weinberg RS, Gould D. Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology. 4th Edition. Champaign, II: Human Kinetics. 2007.
- Markland D, Ingledew DK. The measurement of exercise motives: factorial validity and invariance across gender of a revised Exercise Motivations Inventory. Br J Health Psychol 1997; 2:361-76.

- Guedes DP, Legnani RFS, Legnani E. Propriedades psicométricas da versão brasileira do Exercise Motivations Inventory (EMI-2). Motriz 2011. No Prelo.
- Loze GM, Collins DJ. Muscular development motives for exercise participation: the missing variable in current questionnaire analysis? J Sports Sci 1998; 16:761-7.
- Malby J, Day L. The relationship between exercise motives and psychological well-being. J Psychol 2001; 135:651-60.
- 12. Ingledew DK, Sullivan G. Effects of body mass and body image on exercise motives in adolescence. Psychol Sport Exerc 2002; 3:323-38.
- Capdevila L, Niñerola J, Pintanel M. Motivation and physical activity: the Self-Report of Motives for the Practice of Exercising. Rev Psicol Deporte 2004; 13: 55-74.
- 14. Ingledew DK, Markland D, Ferguson E. Three levels of exercise motivations. Appl Psychol: Health and Wellbeing 2009; 1:336-55.
- Cagas JY, Torre B, Manalastas EJ. Why do Filipinos exercise? Exploring motives from the perspective of Filipinos youth. In: Chia M, Wang J, Balasekaran G, Chatzisarantis N (Eds.). Proceedings of the III International Conference of Physical Education and Sports Science. Singapore: National Institute of Education. 2010. pp.243-8.
- Ryan RM, Frederick CM, Lepes D, Rubio N, Sheldon KM. Intrinsic motivation and exercise adherence. Int J Sport Psychol 1997; 28:335-54.
- 17. Ingledew DK, Markland D, Medley AR. Exercise motives and stages of change. J Health Psychol 1998; 3:477-89.
- Andrade Bastos A, Salguero A, Gonzáles-Boto R, Marquez S. Motives for participation in physical activity by Brazilian adults. Percept Motor Skills 2006; 102:358-67.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. WHO – Technical Report Series, v. 854, p.1-452. 1995.
- Deci EL, Ryan RM. Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Human Behavior. New York: Plenum. 1985.
- 21. Hagger MS, Chatzisarantis NLD. Self-determination theory and the psychology of exercise. International Rev Sport Exerc Psychol 2008; 1:79-103.
- Zunft HJF, Friebe D, Seppelt B, Widhalm K, de Winter AM, Vaz de Almeida MD, Kearney JM, Gibney M. Perceived benefits and barriers to physical activity in a nationally representative sample in the European Union. Public Health Nut 1999; 2:153-60.
- 23. Caglar E, Canlan Y, Demir M. Recreational exercise motives of adolescents and Young adults. J Hum Kinet 2009; 22:83-90.
- Wilson PM, Rodgers WM. The relationship between exercise motives and physical self-esteem in female exercise participants: an application of self-determination theory. J Appl Biobehav Res 2002; 7:30-43.
- Kilpatrick M, Herbert E, Bartholomew J. College students' motivation for physical activity: differentiating men's and women's motives for sport participation and exercise. J Am Coll Health 2005; 54:87-94.
- Murcia JAM, Galindo CM, Pardo PM. Motivation and reasons for exercising in water: gender and age differences in a sample of Spanish exercisers. Int J Aquat Res Educ 2008; 2:237-46.
- 27. Kim YH, Lee HK. Obese adolescents' physical activity and its related motivational variables. Int J Sport Soc 2011; 2:47-54.
- Vierling KK, Standage M, Treasure DC. Predicting attitudes and physical activity in an at-risk minority youth sample: a test of self-determination theory. Psychol Sport Exerc 2007; 8:795-817.